

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	]
	10/729,202	12/05/2003	Thomas Volkel	2001P07021WOUS	2442	•
	7590 02/06/2006		•	EXAMINER		]
SIEMENS CORPORATION				KUNDU, SUJOY K		
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT.					_
		VENUE SOUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	į
	ISELIN, NJ 0	08830		2863		

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A		GQ:			
A. J. January A. A. January	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/729,202	VOLKEL, THOMAS				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>			
	Sujoy K. Kundu	2863				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No	s filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of on, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which pplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) or Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following:					
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 27 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 106.07(f). e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	FIRST REPLY WAS F 36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ILED WITHIN te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	<i>)</i> .					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS						
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· ———	time to file domes and see	nt concelled the			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable if submitted in a separate,	umely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 13-15. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-6 and 9-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ul> <li>D. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal</li> <li>I.O. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. So on of the status of the claims after e	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(¹ ntry is below or attach	ls to provide a l). ned.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	•		nce because:			
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant as the Berger reference does disclose automatically defining a priority as a function of the characteristic value as shown in Column 4, Lines 1-15 and futher explained in Column 1, Lines 41-47.

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800